## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION SIX**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

2d Crim. No. B272429 (Super. Ct. No. 2015027795) (Ventura County)

v.

MICHAEL JACKSON BISHOP,

Defendant and Appellant.

Michael Jackson Bishop appeals judgment following conviction by a jury of first degree residential robbery. (Pen. Code, § 211.)<sup>1</sup>

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, she filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

On September 26, 2016, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. We received no response from appellant.

The People charged Bishop with first degree residential robbery (§ 211), dissuading a witness (§ 136.1, subd. (c)(1)), and assault with intent to commit oral copulation (§§ 220, 288a). The charges arose from an altercation between Bishop and his cellmate in the Ventura County Jail. The People also alleged Bishop had a prior strike conviction (§ 667, subd. (e)(1)), a prior serious felony conviction (§ 667, subd. (a)(1)), and two prior prison terms (§ 667.5). A jury convicted Bishop on the robbery charge and acquitted him on the other two charges. The strike, serious felony, and prison prior allegations were found to be true. The trial court sentenced Bishop to 14 years in state prison.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

TANGEMAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

YEGAN, J.

## Gilbert A. Romero, Judge

Q,,,	0011011	Count	Country	$\alpha f$	Ventura
Du	DELIOI	Court	Country	OΙ	v Giitui a

\_\_\_\_\_

Miriam R. Arichea, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.